IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DECEMBER 2006 / 29TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 14256 of 2006(V)

PETITIONER:

JOSEPH REJI, S/O. A.J. CHERIAN,
AGED 46 YEARS, ALUMMOOTTIL,
VEROOR P.O., CHETHIPUZHA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SUKUMARA MENON
SMT.DEEPTHI S.MENON

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE COMMISSIONER, LAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, TRIVANDRUM.**

2. **THE COMMISSIONER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, TRIVANDRUM.**

3. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, TRIVANDRUM.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 20/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) NO.14256/2006

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.1.9.03 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER THROUGH PROPER CHANNEL BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE PETITIONER HEREIN SUBMITTED ANOTHER REPRESENTATION DT.25.4.05 FOR REPATRIATION OF THE PETITIONER TO HIS PARENT DEPARTMENT.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE PETITIONER HEREIN SUBMITTED ANOTHER REPRESENTATION DT.2.7.05 TO THE CHIEF MINISTER.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT.4.12.06 OF THE PETITIONER ADDRESSED TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE LETTER NO.A1-2321/00 DT.4.12.06 OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR, POVERTY ALLEVIATION UNIT, KOTTAYAM ADDRESSED TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

tss

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No.14256 OF 2006 V
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 20th December, 2006

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is working as Head Clerk in the Rural Development Department. His parent department is the Revenue Department and he has expressed his willingness to go back to the parent department. Ext. P1 representation addressed to the Commissioner, Land Revenue was filed on 1-9-2003. Having not received any reply to that, the petitioner has filed Ext. P4 representation on 4-12-2006 and the same has been routed through the Project Director of the Unit in which he is presently working. Apprehending that steps will not be taken by the concerned authorities, this writ petition has been filed.

2. I have heard the Govt. Pleader for the respondents. It is submitted that the request made by the petitioner as per Ext. P4 can be considered only after calling for certain particulars which are relevant in the context of Rule 8 of K.S. & S.S.R. and other statutory provisions.

3. In the circumstances, the writ petition is

disposed of directing the 1st respondent to pass orders on Ext. P4 after calling for the relevant particulars from the 2nd respondent, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/